#### **DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL**

# RECEIVED

# Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

AUG - 7 2001

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of	)	
Petition of WorldCom, Inc. Pursuant	)	
to Section 252(e)(5) of the	)	
Communications Act for Expedited	)	
Preemption of the Jurisdiction of the	)	CC Docket No. 00-218
Virginia State Corporation Commission	)	
Regarding Interconnection Disputes	)	
with Verizon Virginia Inc., and for	)	
Expedited Arbitration	)	
·	)	
In the Matter of	)	
Petition of Cox Virginia Telecom, Inc.	)	
Pursuant to Section 252(e)(5) of the	)	
Communications Act for Preemption	)	CC Docket No. 00-249
of the Jurisdiction of the Virginia State	)	
Corporation Commission Regarding	)	
Interconnection Disputes with Verizon	)	
Virginia Inc. and for Arbitration	)	
	)	
In the Matter of	)	
Petition of AT&T Communications of	)	
Virginia Inc., Pursuant to Section 252(e)(5)	)	CC Docket No. 00-251
of the Communications Act for Preemption	)	
of the Jurisdiction of the Virginia	)	
Corporation Commission Regarding	)	
Interconnection Disputes With Verizon	)	
Virginia Inc.	)	

# VERIZON VIRGINIA INC.'S OBJECTIONS TO AT&T AND WORLDCOM'S FIFTH SET OF DATA REQUESTS

In accordance with the Procedures Established for Arbitration of Interconnection Agreements Between Verizon and AT&T, Cox, and WorldCom, CC Docket Nos. 00-218, 00-249, 00-251, DA 01-270, Public Notice (rel. February 1, 2001), Verizon Virginia Inc. ("Verizon") objects as follows to the Fifth Set of Data Requests served on Verizon jointly by AT&T and WordCom on August 2, 2001.

#### **GENERAL OBJECTIONS**

- 1. Verizon objects to AT&T and WorldCom's Data Requests to the extent that all or any of them seek confidential business information covered by the Protective Order that was adopted and released on June 6, 2001. Such information will be designated and produced in accordance with the terms of the Protective Order.
- 2. Verizon objects to AT&T and WorldCom's Data Requests to the extent that all or any of them seek attorney work product or information protected by the attorney-client privilege.
- 3. Verizon objects to AT&T and WorldCom's Data Requests to the extent that all or any of them, when read in conjunction with the instructions and definitions contained therein, seek information that is neither relevant to this case nor likely to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence, or otherwise seek to impose upon Verizon discovery obligations beyond those required by 47 CFR § 1.311 et seq.
- 4. Verizon objects to AT&T and WorldCom's Data Requests to the extent that all or any of them, when read in conjunction with the instructions and definitions contained therein, are overly broad, unduly burdensome or vague.
- 5. Verizon objects to AT&T and WorldCom's Data Requests to the extent that all or any of them, when read in conjunction with the instructions and definitions contained therein, seek information from independent corporate affiliates of Verizon Virginia Inc., or from board members, officers or employees of those independent corporate affiliates, that are not parties to this proceeding.
- 6. Verizon objects to AT&T and WorldCom's Data Requests to the extent that all or any of them, when read in conjunction with the instructions and definitions

contained therein, seek information relating to operations in any territory outside of Verizon Virginia Inc. territory. According to the Arbitrator's letter of August 3, 2001, parties seeking information about Verizon's operations in other states must establish that "such information is relevant to the specific disputes over contract language presented in this proceeding."

- 7. Verizon objects to AT&T and WorldCom's Data Requests to the extent that all or any of them, when read in conjunction with the instructions and definitions contained therein, seek discovery throughout the Verizon footprint. This proceeding involves only Verizon Virginia Inc. and relates only to the terms of interconnection and resale in Virginia.
- 8. Verizon objects to AT&T and WorldCom's Data Requests to the extent that all or any of them, when read in conjunction with the instructions and definitions contained therein, seek information that is confidential or proprietary to a customer, CLEC or other third party. Verizon has an obligation to safeguard such information from disclosure. Thus, while Verizon may be in possession of such information, it does not have the authority to disclose that information to AT&T, WorldCom or any other entity.
- 9. Verizon objects to AT&T and WorldCom's Data Requests to the extent that all or any of them, when read in conjunction with the instructions and definitions contained therein, are redundant of prior data requests served by AT&T or WorldCom.

#### **SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS**

In addition to the foregoing General Objections and without waiver of same,

Verizon objects specifically to AT&T and WorldCom's Data Requests as follows:

REQUEST:

AT&T Communications of Virginia, Inc., and WorldCom, Inc., Set #5

DATED:

August 1, 2001

ITEM:

Please identify how Verizon VA's common equipment in the digital loop carrier investment is allocated among all of the services using the AT&T/WCOM 5-1

digital loop carrier within Verizon VA's network? Specifically, what portion of the digital loop carrier common equipment investment is allocated to the POTS services and what portion to the DS1 service within Verizon VA's network? Produce any and all documents concerning, referring or relating to the development of these data.

REPLY:

See general objections.

#### State of Virginia

CC Docket Nos. 00-218, 00-249 and 00-251

Respondent: Title:

REQUEST:

AT&T Communications of Virginia, Inc., and WorldCom, Inc., Set #5

DATED:

August 1, 2001

ITEM:

Please identify how Verizon VA's common equipment in the digital loop carrier investment is allocated among all of the services using the

AT&T/WCOM 5-2

digital loop carrier within Verizon VA's cost model? What portion of the digital loop carrier common equipment investment is allocated to the POTS services and what portion to the DS1 service within Verizon VA's

cost model?

REPLY:

See general objections.

#### State of Virginia

CC Docket Nos. 00-218, 00-249 and 00-251

Respondent: Title:

**REQUEST:** 

AT&T Communications of Virginia, Inc., and WorldCom, Inc., Set #5

DATED:

August 1, 2001

ITEM:

Please identify how Verizon VA's fiber cable investment is allocated

AT&T/WCOM 5-3

among all of the services using a fiber sheath within Verizon VA's network? Specifically, what portion of the fiber investment is allocated

to the POTS services and what portion to the DS1 service within Verizon VA's network? Produce any and all documents concerning,

referring or relating to the development of these data.

REPLY:

See general objections.

#### State of Virginia

CC Docket Nos. 00-218, 00-249 and 00-251

Respondent: Title:

**REQUEST:** 

AT&T Communications of Virginia, Inc., and WorldCom, Inc., Set #5

DATED:

August 1, 2001

ITEM:

AT&T/WCOM 5-4

Please identify how Verizon VA's fiber cable investment is allocated among all of the services using a fiber sheath within Verizon VA's cost model? What portion of the fiber investment is allocated to the POTS services and what portion to the DS1 service within Verizon VA's cost

model?

REPLY:

See general objections.

#### State of Virginia

CC Docket Nos. 00-218, 00-249 and 00-251

Respondent: Title:

REQUEST:

AT&T/WCOM 5-5

AT&T Communications of Virginia, Inc., and WorldCom, Inc., Set #5

DATED:

August 1, 2001

ITEM:

Please identify how Verizon VA's feeder structure investment is allocated among all of the services using the feeder structure within

Verizon VA's network. Specifically, what portion of the feeder

structure investment is allocated to the POTS services and what portion to the DS1 service within Verizon VA's network? Produce any and all documents concerning, referring or relating to the development of these

data.

REPLY:

See general objections.

#### State of Virginia

CC Docket Nos. 00-218, 00-249 and 00-251

Respondent:

Title:

REQUEST:

AT&T Communications of Virginia, Inc., and WorldCom, Inc., Set #5

DATED:

August 1, 2001

ITEM:

AT&T/WCOM 5-6

Please identify how Verizon feeder structure investment is allocated among all of the services using the feeder structure within Verizon VA's cost model. Specifically, what portion of the feeder structure investment is allocated to the POTS services and what portion to the DS1 service

within Verizon VA's cost model?

REPLY:

See general objections.

#### State of Virginia

CC Docket Nos. 00-218, 00-249 and 00-251

Respondent: Title:

**REQUEST:** 

AT&T Communications of Virginia, Inc., and WorldCom, Inc., Set #5

DATED:

August 1, 2001

ITEM:

Please identify how Verizon VA's distribution structure investment is allocated among all of the services using the distribution structure within

AT&T/WCOM 5-7

Verizon VA's actual network. Specifically, what portion of the distribution structure investment is allocated to the POTS services and what portion to the DS1 service within Verizon VA's network? Produce

what portion to the DS1 service within Verizon VA's network? Produce any and all documents concerning, referring or relating to the

development of these data.

REPLY:

See general objections.

#### State of Virginia

CC Docket Nos. 00-218, 00-249 and 00-251

Respondent: Title:

REQUEST:

AT&T Communications of Virginia, Inc., and WorldCom, Inc., Set #5

DATED:

August 1, 2001

ITEM:

Please identify how Verizon VA's distribution structure investment is AT&T/WCOM 5-8 allocated among all of the services using the distribution structure within

Verizon VA's cost model? Specifically, what portion of the distribution structure investment is allocated to the POTS services and what portion

to the DS1 service within Verizon VA's cost model?

REPLY:

See general objections.

#### State of Virginia

CC Docket Nos. 00-218, 00-249 and 00-251

Respondent: Title:

REQUEST:

AT&T Communications of Virginia, Inc., and WorldCom, Inc., Set #5

DATED:

August 1, 2001

ITEM:

AT&T/WCOM 5-9

Does any part of the recurring cost study assume the **capital investment** associated with the **cross-wire** that is necessary to connect the feeder component to the distribution component at the Feeder Distribution Interface (either directly or indirectly)?

a. Please explain in detail all expenses that would be reflected in the recurring rates for UNE's regarding this cross-wire or the activity associated with its placement, rearrangement, or removal.

REPLY:

See general objections.

#### State of Virginia

CC Docket Nos. 00-218, 00-249 and 00-251

Respondent: Title:

REQUEST:

AT&T Communications of Virginia, Inc., and WorldCom, Inc., Set #5

DATED:

August 1, 2001

ITEM:

AT&T/WCOM 5-10

Do any plant accounting codes reflect the capital investment associated with the cross-wire that is necessary to connect the feeder component to the distribution component at the Feeder Distribution Interface (either

directly or indirectly)??

REPLY:

See general objections.

#### State of Virginia

CC Docket Nos. 00-218, 00-249 and 00-251

Respondent: Title:

**REQUEST:** 

AT&T Communications of Virginia, Inc., and WorldCom, Inc., Set #5

DATED:

August 1, 2001

ITEM:

Was any labor expense to place, move or re-arrange this cross-wire

AT&T/WCOM 5-11

reflected in any plant account codes or any other accounting codes used

in the development of recurring rates for UNE's (either directly or

indirectly)?

REPLY:

See general objections.

#### State of Virginia

CC Docket Nos. 00-218, 00-249 and 00-251

Respondent: Title:

REQUEST:

AT&T Communications of Virginia, Inc., and WorldCom, Inc., Set #5

DATED:

August 1, 2001

ITEM:

AT&T/WCOM 5-12

Are cross-wires that are necessary to connect the feeder component to the distribution component at the Feeder Distribution Interface ever changed (i.e., placed, removed or re-arranged) in the course of resolving network related troubles?

a. To what accounting codes would expenses be charged for these activities?

b. Are these costs reflected in the recurring rates for UNE's?

REPLY:

See general objections.

#### State of Virginia

CC Docket Nos. 00-218, 00-249 and 00-251

Respondent: Title:

REQUEST:

AT&T Communications of Virginia, Inc., and WorldCom, Inc., Set #5

DATED:

August 1, 2001

ITEM:

Are cross-wires that are necessary to connect the feeder component to

AT&T/WCOM 5-13

the distribution component at the Feeder Distribution Interface ever affected (i.e., placed, removed or re-arranged) as the result of

engineering work order activity?

a. To what accounting codes would expenses be charged for these

b. Are these costs reflected in the recurring rates for UNE's?

REPLY:

See general objections.

#### State of Virginia

CC Docket Nos. 00-218, 00-249 and 00-251

Respondent: Title:

REQUEST:

AT&T Communications of Virginia, Inc., and WorldCom, Inc., Set #5

DATED:

August 1, 2001

ITEM:

AT&T/WCOM 5-14

Are cross-wires that are necessary to connect the feeder component to the distribution component at the Feeder Distribution Interface ever

changed (i.e., placed, removed or re-arrange) in the course of provisioning a service request (either Retail or Wholesale services)?

a. To what accounting codes would expenses be charged for these

activities?

b. Are these costs reflected in the recurring rates for UNE's?

REPLY:

See general objections.

#### State of Virginia

CC Docket Nos. 00-218, 00-249 and 00-251

Respondent: Title:

REQUEST:

AT&T Communications of Virginia, Inc., and WorldCom, Inc., Set #5

DATED:

August 1, 2001

ГТЕМ:

Please explain the frequency in which these cross-wires are removed

AT&T/WCOM 5-15

when services (either Retail or Wholesale) are disconnected.

REPLY:

See general objections.

# State of Virginia

# CC Docket Nos. 00-218, 00-249 and 00-251

Respondent: Title:

REQUEST:

AT&T Communications of Virginia, Inc., and WorldCom, Inc., Set #5

DATED:

August 1, 2001

ITEM:

Please explain the frequency in which these cross-wires are removed

AT&T/WCOM 5-16

when Wholesale UNE's are disconnected that is reflected in the

presentation of non-recurring cost (i.e., VZ-NRCM).

REPLY:

See general objections.

#### State of Virginia

CC Docket Nos. 00-218, 00-249 and 00-251

Respondent: Title:

**REQUEST:** 

AT&T Communications of Virginia, Inc., and WorldCom, Inc., Set #5

DATED:

August 1, 2001

ITEM:

AT&T/WCOM 5-17

Please provide a copy of all documentation, and or any studies or analyses, including any minutes, notes, handouts, presentations, or other documents reflecting any communications, or meetings, or other exchanges between or among some or all of persons or subject matter experts concerning the values contained in the "Connect - Average Work Time", "Connect - Typical Occurrence Percentages" or "Connect - Forward Looking Adjustment Percentages", "Disconnect - Average Work Time" or "Disconnect - Typical Occurrence Percentages" "Disconnect - Forward Looking Adjustment Percentages" worksheets contained in VZ-NRCM that may have been used for the model's development, or its methodology, underlying assumptions, work time estimates, operation, or results.

REPLY:

See general objections.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael E. Glover Of Counsel

Richard D. Gary Kelly L. Faglioni Hunton & Williams Riverfront Plaza, East Tower 951 East Byrd Street Richmond, Virginia 23219-4074 (804) 788-8200

Catherine Kane Ronis Lynn R. Charytan Wilmer, Cutler & Pickering 2445 M Street, NW Washington, DC 20037-1420

Of Counsel

Dated: August 7, 2001

Karen Zacharia David Hall 1320 North Court House Road Eighth Floor Arlington, Virginia 22201 (703) 974-2804

Lydia R. Pulley 600 E. Main St., 11<sup>th</sup> Floor Richmond, VA 23233 (804) 772-1547

Attorneys for Verizon

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I do hereby certify that true and accurate copies of the foregoing Objections to AT&T/WorldCom's Fifth Set of Data Requests were served electronically and by overnight mail this 7th day of August, 2001, to:

Dorothy Attwood (not served electronically) Common Carrier Bureau Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20554\*

Mark A. Keffer Dan W. Long Stephanie Baldanzi AT&T 3033 Chain Bridge Road Oakton, Virginia 22185

David Levy Sidley & Austin 1722 Eye Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006\*

Jodie L. Kelley Jenner & Block LLC 601 Thirteenth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005\*

and

Allen Feifeld, Esq. (not served electronically) Kimberly Wild WorldCom, Inc. 1133 19th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036\*

Mark S. Morelli

Want 5 Maill

<sup>\*</sup> Served by hand delivery.